



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/531,888

04/20/2005

Shinkichi Ikeda

MAT-8683US

5896

23122 7590 04/24/2008
RATNERPRESTIA
P O BOX 980
VALLEY FORGE, PA 19482-0980

EXAMINER

NOORISTANY, SULAIMAN

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2146

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

04/24/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/531,888	Applicant(s) IKEDA ET AL.	
	Examiner SULAIMAN NOORISTANY	Art Unit 2146	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) SULAIMAN NOORISTANY.

(3) Dennis DeFino.

(2) Ashok Patel.

(4) Jacquef Etkowicz.

Date of Interview: 22 April 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 7.

Identification of prior art discussed: US 6,956,816.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant disclosed the feature of claims 1 & 7, wherein a second router is the mobile router which receives information from the first router (virtual router) based on a request by mobile router. Applicant pointed out that Alexander et al.(US 6,956,816) is missing the second router(mobile router). Examiner agrees at this point that applican's claims over come the above reference and would requires further searches.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Bunjob Jaroenchonwanit/ SPE, AU2152

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required